



Erik CaPaul
316 W. Washington Ave
Room 901
Madison, WI 53703

Phone: 608.252-4671
Fax: 608.252.1295
Email: ec3813@att.com

October 26, 2006

Ms. Sandra Paske
Secretary to the Commission
Public Service Commission of Wisconsin
P.O. Box 7854
Madison, Wisconsin 53707-7854

Re: Application for the Approval of this Second Amended and Restated Amendment to Correct an Existing Reciprocal Compensation Amendment, which supersedes and replaces both the previous "Original ISP Amendment", and the "Amended and Restated Amendment" to the Interconnection Agreement negotiated between Wisconsin Bell, Inc., d/b/a AT&T Wisconsin, and AT&T Communications of Wisconsin, L.P..

Dear Ms. Paske:

Wisconsin Bell, Inc., d/b/a AT&T Wisconsin, and AT&T Communications of Wisconsin, L.P. hereby request approval, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. 252, this Second Amended and Restated Amendment to Correct an Existing Reciprocal Compensation Amendment, which supersedes and replaces both the previous "Original ISP Amendment", and the "Amended and Restated Amendment" to the Interconnection Agreement negotiated between Wisconsin Bell, Inc., d/b/a AT&T Wisconsin, and AT&T Communications of Wisconsin, L.P..

I have been authorized by AT&T Communications of Wisconsin, L.P. to submit for Commission approval, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. s 252(e), the enclosed Agreement.

AT&T Communications of Wisconsin, L.P.
Frederik Cederqvist
AT&T Enterprise Services, Inc.
32 Avenue of the Americas
Room E561
New York, NY 10013
P: 212-387-4018
F: 281-664-9884
fcederqvist@att.com

Sincerely,

/s/ Erik S. CaPaul
Erik S. CaPaul

Enclosure

**SECOND AMENDED AND RESTATED AMENDMENT
TO INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT
BY AND BETWEEN
WISCONSIN BELL, INC. d/b/a AT&T WISCONSIN
AND
AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF WISCONSIN, L.P.**

This Second Amended and Restated Amendment (Adopting FCC Interim Terminating Compensation Plan) (this "Second Amended and Restated Amendment") between AT&T Operations Inc., on behalf of and as agent for Wisconsin Bell, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Wisconsin (hereinafter "AT&T WISCONSIN")¹ and AT&T Communications of Wisconsin, L.P. ("AT&T").

WHEREAS, AT&T WISCONSIN and AT&T entered into an interconnection agreement pursuant to Sections 251 and 252 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (the "Act") for the state of Wisconsin approved July 9, 2002 (the "ICA");

WHEREAS, for the state of Wisconsin, the Parties previously entered into an amendment (the "Original ISP Amendment") to the current ICA setting forth the terms, conditions and rates for invoking the FCC interim terminating compensation plan on and after July 6, 2003;

WHEREAS, the Original ISP Amendment contained a clerical error in Section 4.12.9.2 of Exhibit A which incorrectly included the clause "and Section 251(b)(5) Traffic;"

WHEREAS, the Parties attempted to correct the error in the Original ISP Amendment in the Amended and Restated Amendment dated April 7, 2004 ("Amended and Restated Amendment"); and

WHEREAS, the Amended and Restated Amendment had many additional errors that the Parties now wish to correct.

NOW, THEREFORE, for and in consideration of the premises, mutual promises and covenants contained in this Second Amended and Restated Amendment, and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, the Parties agree as follows:

1.0 Scope of Agreement

- 1.1 The rates, terms and conditions of this Amendment shall apply and supercede any and all contract sections, appendices, attachments, rate schedules, or other portions of the underlying ICA that set forth rates, terms and conditions for the terminating compensation for (i) ISP-bound traffic as set forth in the FCC's Order on Remand and Report and Order, In the Matter of Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions in the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Intercarrier Compensation for ISP-Bound Traffic, FCC 01-131, CC Docket Nos. 96-98, 99-68 (rel. April 27, 2001) ("FCC ISP Compensation Order") (hereinafter "ISP-bound Traffic") and traffic as set forth in Section 251(b)(5) of the Act (hereinafter "Section 251(b)(5) Traffic") exchanged between AT&T WISCONSIN and AT&T if Option 1 is accepted by AT&T in accordance with Exhibit A, and (ii) solely ISP-bound Traffic exchanged between AT&T WISCONSIN and AT&T if Option 2 applies in accordance with Exhibit A.

¹Wisconsin Bell, Inc. (previously referred to as "Wisconsin Bell" or "SBC Wisconsin") now operates under the name "AT&T Wisconsin".

1.2 Upon application as set forth in 1.1, any inconsistencies between the provisions of this Second Amended and Restated Amendment and other provisions of the current ICA will be governed by the provisions of this Second Amended and Restated Amendment, unless this Second Amended and Restated Amendment is specifically and expressly superseded by a future amendment between the Parties in accordance with the change of law, intervening law or regulatory change provisions of the underlying interconnection agreement.

2.0 Scope of Second Amended and Restated Amendment

2.1 The Parties agree to amend the underlying ICA with the provisions set forth in Exhibit A.

3.0 Miscellaneous

3.1 This Second Amended and Restated Amendment will be effective as of July 6, 2003, contingent upon any necessary commission approval of the Amendment. The Parties confirm that the effective date of the Original ISP Amendment is not affected by the filing and approval of this Second Amended and Restated Amendment.

3.2 Intentionally left blank.

3.3 In entering into this Amendment and carrying out the provisions herein, neither Party waives, but instead expressly reserves, all of its rights, remedies and arguments with respect to any orders, decisions, legislation or proceedings and any remands thereof and any other federal or state regulatory, legislative or judicial action(s), including, without limitation, its intervening law rights (including intervening law rights asserted by either Party via written notice predating this Amendment) relating to the following actions, which the Parties have not yet fully incorporated into this Agreement or which may be the subject of further government review: Verizon v. FCC, et. al, 535 U.S. 467 (2002); USTA v. FCC, 290 F.3d 415 (D.C. Cir. 2002) and following remand and appeal, USTA v. FCC, 359 F.3d 554 (D.C. Cir. 2004); the FCC's Triennial Review Order, CC Docket Nos. 01-338, 96-98, and 98-147 (FCC 03-36) including, without limitation, the FCC's MDU Reconsideration Order (FCC 04-191) (rel. Aug. 9, 2004) and the FCC's Order on Reconsideration (FCC 04-248) (rel. Oct. 18, 2004), and the FCC's Biennial Review Proceeding; the FCC's Order on Remand (FCC 04-290), WC Docket No. 04-313 and CC Docket No. 01-338 (rel. Feb. 4, 2005) ("TRO Remand Order"); the FCC's Report and Order and Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (FCC 05-150), CC Docket Nos. 02-33, 01-337, 95-20, 98-10 and WC Docket Nos. 04-242 and 05-271 (rel. Sept. 23, 2005) ("Title I Order"); the FCC's Supplemental Order Clarification (FCC 00-183) (rel. June 2, 2000), in CC Docket 96-98; and the FCC's Order on Remand and Report and Order in CC Dockets No. 96-98 and 99-68, 16 FCC Rcd 9151 (2001), (rel. April 27, 2001) ("ISP Compensation Order"), which was remanded in WorldCom, Inc. v. FCC, 288 F.3d 429 (D.C. Cir. 2002), and as to the FCC's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking as to Intercarrier Compensation, CC Docket 01-92 (Order No. 01-132) (rel. April 27, 2001) (collectively "Government Actions"). Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Agreement (including this and any other amendments to the Agreement), AT&T WISCONSIN shall have no obligation to provide UNEs, combinations of UNEs, combinations of UNE(s) and CLEC's own elements or UNEs in commingled arrangements beyond those required by the Act, including the lawful and effective FCC rules and associated FCC and judicial orders. Further, neither Party will argue or take the position before any state or federal regulatory commission or court that any provisions set forth in this Agreement and this Amendment constitute an agreement or waiver relating to the appropriate routing, treatment and compensation for Voice Over Internet Protocol traffic and/or traffic utilizing in whole or part Internet Protocol technology; rather, each Party expressly reserves any rights, remedies, and arguments they may have as to such issues including but not limited, to any rights each may have as a result of the FCC's Order In the Matter of Petition

for Declaratory Ruling that AT&T's Phone-to-Phone IP Telephony Services are Exempt from Access Charges, WC Docket No. 02-361 (rel. April 21, 2004). The Parties acknowledge and agree that AT&T WISCONSIN has exercised its option to adopt the FCC ISP terminating compensation plan ("FCC Plan") in Wisconsin and as of the date of that election by AT&T WISCONSIN, the FCC Plan shall apply to this Agreement, as more specifically provided in this Agreement and/or any Amendments to this Agreement. If any action by any state or federal regulatory or legislative body or court of competent jurisdiction invalidates, modifies, or stays the enforcement of laws or regulations that were the basis or rationale for any rate(s), term(s) and/or condition(s) ("Provisions") of the Agreement and this Amendment and/or otherwise affects the rights or obligations of either Party that are addressed by the Agreement and this Amendment, specifically including but not limited to those arising with respect to the Government Actions, the affected Provision(s) shall be immediately invalidated, modified or stayed consistent with the action of the regulatory or legislative body or court of competent jurisdiction upon the written request of either Party ("Written Notice"). With respect to any Written Notices hereunder, the Parties shall have sixty (60) days from the Written Notice to attempt to negotiate and arrive at an agreement on the appropriate conforming modifications to the Agreement. If the Parties are unable to agree upon the conforming modifications required within sixty (60) days from the Written Notice, any disputes between the Parties concerning the interpretation of the actions required or the provisions affected by such order shall be resolved pursuant to the dispute resolution process provided for in this Agreement.

- 3.4 This Second Amended and Restated Amendment supersedes and replaces the (i) Original ISP Amendment and (ii) the Amended and Restated Amendment. The Parties hereby confirm that any elections made by AT&T under the terms of the Original ISP Amendment remain effective as made under the Original ISP Amendment. The Parties further agree that the effective date of this Second Amended and Restated Amendment is the same as the Original ISP Amendment and the corresponding true-up obligations remain unchanged.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Second Amended and Restated Amendment was exchanged in triplicate on this 18th day of October, 2006, by AT&T WISCONSIN, signing by and through its duly authorized representative, and AT&T, signing by and through its duly authorized representative.

AT&T Communications of Wisconsin, L.P.

Wisconsin Bell, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Wisconsin by
AT&T Operations, Inc., its authorized agent

By: 

By: 

Printed: Jon Nelson

Printed: Rebecca L. Sparks

Title: Director
(Print or Type)

Title: Executive Director-Regulatory

Date: 10-13-06

Date: 10-18-06

FACILITIES-BASED OCN # _____

ACNA _____

EXHIBIT A

4.12 FCC's Interim ISP Terminating Compensation Plan

4.12.1 AT&T WISCONSIN has made an offer to all telecommunications carriers in the state of Wisconsin ("the Offer") to exchange on or after June 1, 2003 all "Section 251(b)(5) Traffic" and all "ISP-bound Traffic" pursuant to the terms and conditions of the FCC terminating compensation plan of the FCC's Order on Remand and Report and Order in CC Dockets No. 96-98 and 99-68, *In the Matter of the Local Competition Provisions in the Telecommunications Act of 1996; Intercarrier Compensation for ISP Bound Traffic* (the "FCC's Interim ISP Compensation Order"). For purposes of this Agreement, ISP-bound traffic as set forth in the FCC's Order on Remand and Report and Order, In the Matter of Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions in the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Intercarrier Compensation for ISP-Bound Traffic, FCC 01-131, CC Docket Nos. 96-98, 99-68 (rel. April 27, 2001) ("FCC ISP Compensation Order") shall be referred to as "ISP-bound Traffic," and traffic as set forth in Section 251(b)(5) of the Act shall be referred to as "Section 251(b)(5) Traffic." Unless or until AT&T makes a one-time election to accept this offer to exchange traffic pursuant to Option 1 as set forth below by providing thirty (30) days advance written notice to AT&T WISCONSIN, AT&T WISCONSIN and AT&T will operate pursuant to the provisions of Option 2, as set forth below. Upon AT&T's election of the offer, the rates, terms and conditions of Option 1 will become effective on the 31st day after notice.

OPTION 1 (Exchange all ISP-bound Traffic and All Section 251(b)(5) Traffic at the FCC Interim ISP Terminating Compensation Plan Rate) – Sections 4.12.2 through 4.12.8

4.12.2 Intercarrier Compensation for ISP-bound Traffic and Section 251(b)(5) Traffic

- 4.12.2.1 The rates, terms, conditions in Sections 4.12.2 through 4.12.8 apply to the termination of all ISP-bound Traffic, and all Section 251(b)(5) Traffic. ISP-bound Traffic is subject to the growth caps and new market restrictions stated in Sections 4.12.3 and 4.12.4 below.
- 4.12.2.2 The Parties agree to compensate each other for the transport and termination of ISP-bound Traffic and Section 251(b)(5) Traffic on a minute of use basis, at \$.001 per minute of use from June 1, 2003 through June 14, 2003, and \$.0007 per minute of use commencing on June 15, 2003, and thereafter.
- 4.12.2.3 Payment of Intercarrier Compensation on ISP-bound Traffic and Section 251(b)(5) Traffic will not vary according to whether the traffic is routed through a tandem switch or directly to an end office switch.

4.12.3 ISP- bound Traffic Growth Cap

- 4.12.3.1 On a calendar year basis, as set forth below, each Party agrees to cap its overall ISP-bound Traffic minutes of use based upon the 1st Quarter 2001 ISP minutes for which that Party was entitled to compensation under its Interconnection Agreement(s) in existence for the 1st Quarter of 2001, on the following schedule:

Calendar Year 2001	1st Quarter 2001 compensable ISP-bound Traffic minutes, times 4, times 1.10
Calendar 2002	Year 2001 compensable ISP-bound Traffic minutes, times 1.10
Calendar Year 2003	Year 2002 compensable ISP-bound Traffic minutes
Calendar Year 2004 and thereafter	Year 2002 compensable ISP-bound Traffic minutes

Neither Party may fail to pay reciprocal compensation for ISP-bound Traffic to the other Party based on the application of the foregoing growth caps until the aggregate amount of ISP-bound Traffic billed by the other Party exceeds the applicable maximum number of minutes of ISP-bound Traffic that may be compensated for the entire year. Notwithstanding anything contrary herein, in Calendar Year 2003, the Parties agree that ISP-bound Traffic exchanged between the parties during the entire period from January 1, 2003 until December 31, 2003 shall be counted towards determining whether AT&T has exceeded the growth caps for Calendar Year 2003.

4.12.3.2 ISP-bound Traffic minutes that exceed the applied growth cap will be Bill and Keep. "Bill and Keep" refers to an arrangement in which neither of two interconnecting parties charges the other for terminating traffic that originates on the other party's network.

4.12.4 Bill and Keep for ISP-bound Traffic in New Markets

4.12.4.1 This Agreement is subject to the provisions of Paragraph 81 of the FCC's Interim ISP Compensation Order; provided, however, the Parties disagree what constitutes a market for purposes of the Bill and Keep provisions of Paragraph 81. AT&T specifically disputes that the new market restrictions of Paragraph 81 would ever apply to AT&T in the state of Wisconsin because, among other things, AT&T has a state-wide interconnection agreement for the state of Wisconsin. AT&T WISCONSIN disagrees with AT&T's interpretation of Paragraph 81. To the extent that AT&T WISCONSIN believes that AT&T's ISP-bound Traffic is subject to Bill and Keep under Paragraph 81, AT&T WISCONSIN shall notify AT&T and the Parties shall negotiate in good faith to reach agreement on the treatment of such ISP-bound Traffic within thirty (30) days of such notice. If the Parties are unable to reach agreement on the treatment of such traffic under Paragraph 81 within such thirty (30) day period, either Party may submit such dispute to the appropriate regulatory or judicial authority for determination. By entering into the Amendment, both Parties reserve the right to advocate their respective positions relating to Paragraph 81 before state or federal commissions whether in bilateral complaint dockets, arbitrations under

Section 252 of the Act, Commission established rulemaking dockets, or before any judicial or legislative body.

4.12.5 Growth Cap and New Market Bill and Keep Arrangements

4.12.5.1 Wherever Bill and Keep for ISP-bound Traffic is the traffic termination arrangement between AT&T and AT&T WISCONSIN, both Parties shall segregate the Bill and Keep traffic from other compensable traffic either (a) by excluding the Bill and Keep minutes of use from other compensable minutes of use in the monthly billing invoices, or (b) by any other means mutually agreed upon by the Parties.

4.12.5.2 The Growth Cap and New Market Bill and Keep arrangement applies only to ISP-bound Traffic, and does not include Transit traffic, Optional Calling Area traffic, IntraLATA Interexchange traffic, or InterLATA Interexchange traffic.

4.12.6 ISP-bound Traffic Rebuttable Presumption

4.12.6.1 In accordance with Paragraph 79 of the FCC's ISP Compensation Order, AT&T and AT&T WISCONSIN agree that there is a rebuttable presumption that any of the combined Section 251(b)(5) traffic and ISP-bound Traffic exchanged between AT&T and AT&T WISCONSIN exceeding a 3:1 terminating to originating ratio is presumed to be ISP-bound Traffic subject to the compensation and growth cap terms in this Option 1. Either Party has the right to rebut the 3:1 ISP-bound Traffic presumption by identifying the actual ISP-bound Traffic by any means mutually agreed by the Parties, or by any method approved by the Commission. If a Party seeking to rebut the presumption takes appropriate action at the Commission pursuant to Section 252 of the Act and the Commission agrees that such Party has rebutted the presumption, the methodology and/or means approved by the Commission for use in determining the ratio shall be utilized by the Parties as of the date of the Commission approval and, in addition, shall be utilized to determine the appropriate true-up as described below. During the pendency of any such proceedings to rebut the presumption, AT&T and AT&T WISCONSIN will remain obligated to pay the presumptive rates (reciprocal compensation rates for traffic below a 3:1 ratio, the rates set forth in Section 4.12.2.2 for traffic above the ratio) subject to a true-up upon the conclusion of such proceedings. Such true-up shall be retroactive back to the date a Party first sought appropriate relief from the Commission.

4.12.7 Billing

4.12.7.1 For purposes of this Section 4.12.7.1, all Section 251(b)(5) Traffic and all ISP-bound Traffic shall be referred to as "Billable Traffic." The Party that transports and terminates more Billable Traffic ("Out-of-Balance Carrier") will, on a monthly basis, calculate (i) the amount of such traffic to be compensated at the FCC interim ISP terminating compensation rate set forth in Section 4.12.2.2 above and (ii) the amount of such traffic subject to bill and keep in accordance with Sections 4.12.3 and 4.12.4 above.

The Out-of-Balance Carrier will invoice on a monthly basis the other Party in accordance with the provisions in this Amendment and the FCC interim ISP terminating compensation plan. The Parties will mutually agree on the billing periods to be used in the monthly calculation for presumed ISP-bound Traffic and for Section 251(b)(5) Traffic.

- 4.12.8 AT&T and AT&T WISCONSIN agree that nothing in this Agreement is meant to affect or determine the appropriate treatment of Voice Over Internet Protocol (VOIP) traffic under this or future Interconnection Agreements. The Parties further agree that this Agreement shall not be construed against either party as a "meeting of the minds" that VOIP traffic is or is not 251(b)(5) Traffic subject to reciprocal compensation. By entering into the Amendment, both Parties reserve the right to advocate their respective positions before state or federal commissions whether in bilateral complaint dockets, arbitrations under Section 252 of the Act, Commission established rulemaking dockets, or before any judicial or legislative body.

OPTION 2 - (Exchange Only ISP-bound Traffic at the FCC's Interim ISP Terminating Compensation Plan Rate) – Sections 4.12.9 through 4.12.15

4.12.9 Intercarrier Compensation for ISP-bound Traffic

- 4.12.9.1 The rates, terms, conditions in Sections 4.12.9 through 4.12.15 apply only to the termination of ISP-bound Traffic and subject to the growth caps and new market restrictions stated in Sections 4.12.10 and 4.12.11 below.

- 4.12.9.2 The Parties agree to compensate each other for the transport and termination of ISP-bound Traffic on a minute of use basis, at \$.001 per minute of use from June 1, 2003 through June 14, 2003, and \$.0007 per minute of use commencing on June 15, 2003 and thereafter.

- 4.12.9.3 Payment of Intercarrier Compensation on ISP-bound Traffic will not vary according to whether the traffic is routed through a tandem switch or directly to an end office switch.

- 4.12.10 ISP-bound Traffic Growth Cap

- 4.12.10.1 On a calendar year basis, as set forth below, each Party agrees to cap its overall ISP-bound Traffic minutes of use based upon the 1st Quarter 2001 ISP minutes for which that Party was entitled to compensation under its Interconnection Agreement(s) in existence for the 1st Quarter of 2001, on the following schedule:

Calendar Year 2001	1st Quarter 2001 compensable ISP-bound Traffic minutes, times 4, times 1.10
--------------------	---

Calendar 2002	Year 2001 compensable ISP- bound Traffic minutes, times 1.10
---------------	--

Calendar Year 2003

Year 2002 compensable ISP-bound Traffic minutes

Calendar Year 2004 and thereafter

Year 2002 compensable ISP-bound Traffic minutes

Neither Party may fail to pay reciprocal compensation for ISP-bound Traffic to the other Party based on the application of the foregoing growth caps until the aggregate amount of ISP-bound Traffic billed by the other Party exceeds the applicable maximum number of minutes of ISP-bound Traffic that may be compensated for the entire year. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary herein, in Calendar Year 2003, the Parties agree that ISP-bound Traffic exchanged between the parties during the entire period from January 1, 2003 until December 31, 2003 shall be counted towards determining whether AT&T has exceeded the growth caps for Calendar Year 2003.

- 4.12.10.2 ISP-bound Traffic minutes that exceed the applied growth cap will be Bill and Keep. "Bill and Keep" refers to an arrangement in which neither of two interconnecting parties charges the other for terminating traffic that originates on the other party's network.
- 4.12.11 Bill and Keep for ISP-bound Traffic in New Markets
- 4.12.11.1 This Agreement is subject to the provisions of Paragraph 81 of the FCC's Interim ISP Compensation Order; provided, however, the Parties disagree what constitutes a market for purposes of the Bill and Keep provisions of Paragraph 81. AT&T specifically disputes that the new market restrictions of Paragraph 81 would ever apply to AT&T in the state of Wisconsin because, among other things, AT&T has a state-wide interconnection agreement for the state of Wisconsin. AT&T WISCONSIN disagrees with AT&T's interpretation of Paragraph 81. To the extent that AT&T WISCONSIN believes that AT&T's ISP-bound Traffic is subject to Bill and Keep under Paragraph 81, AT&T WISCONSIN shall notify AT&T and the Parties shall negotiate in good faith to reach agreement on the treatment of such ISP-bound Traffic within thirty (30) days of such notice. If the Parties are unable to reach agreement on the treatment of such traffic under Paragraph 81 within such thirty (30) day period, either Party may submit such dispute to the appropriate regulatory or judicial authority for determination. By entering into the Amendment, both Parties reserve the right to advocate their respective positions relating to Paragraph 81 before state or federal commissions whether in bilateral complaint dockets, arbitrations under Section 252 of the Act, Commission established rulemaking dockets, or before any judicial or legislative body.
- 4.12.12 Growth Cap and New Market Bill and Keep Arrangements
 - 4.12.12.1 Wherever Bill and Keep for ISP-bound Traffic is the traffic termination arrangement between AT&T and AT&T WISCONSIN, both Parties shall segregate the Bill and Keep traffic from other compensable traffic either (a) by excluding the Bill and Keep minutes of use from other

compensable minutes of use in the monthly billing invoices, or (b) by any other means mutually agreed upon by the Parties.

- 4.12.12.2 The Growth Cap and New Market Bill and Keep arrangement applies only to ISP-bound Traffic, and does not include Transit traffic, Optional Calling Area traffic, IntraLATA Interexchange traffic, or InterLATA Interexchange traffic.
- 4.12.13 ISP-bound Traffic Rebuttable Presumption
 - 4.12.13.1 In accordance with Paragraph 79 of the FCC's ISP Compensation Order, AT&T and AT&T WISCONSIN agree that there is a rebuttable presumption that any of the combined Section 251(b)(5) traffic and ISP-bound Traffic exchanged between AT&T and AT&T WISCONSIN exceeding a 3:1 terminating to originating ratio is presumed to be ISP-bound Traffic subject to the compensation and growth cap terms in this Option 2. Either Party has the right to rebut the 3:1 ISP-bound Traffic presumption by identifying the actual ISP-bound Traffic by any means mutually agreed by the Parties, or by any method approved by the Commission. If a Party seeking to rebut the presumption takes appropriate action at the Commission pursuant to Section 252 of the Act and the Commission agrees that such Party has rebutted the presumption, the methodology and/or means approved by the Commission for use in determining the ratio shall be utilized by the Parties as of the date of the Commission approval and, in addition, shall be utilized to determine the appropriate true-up as described below. During the pendency of any such proceedings to rebut the presumption, AT&T and AT&T WISCONSIN will remain obligated to pay the presumptive rates (reciprocal compensation rates for traffic below a 3:1 ratio, the rates set forth in Section 4.12.9.2 for traffic above the ratio) subject to a true-up upon the conclusion of such proceedings. Such true-up shall be retroactive back to the date a Party first sought appropriate relief from the Commission.
- 4.12.14 Billing
 - 4.12.14.1 For purposes of this Section 4.12.14, all Section 251(b)(5) Traffic and all ISP-bound Traffic shall be referred to as "Billable Traffic." The Party that transports and terminates more Billable Traffic ("Out-of-Balance Carrier") will, on a monthly basis, calculate (i) the amount of such traffic to be compensated at the Section 251(b)(5) reciprocal compensation rates set forth in the **Pricing Schedule**, (ii) the amount of such traffic to be compensated at the FCC interim ISP terminating compensation rate set forth in Section 4.12.9.2 above, and (iii) the amount of such traffic subject to Bill and Keep in accordance with Sections 4.12.10 and 4.12.11 above. The Out-of-Balance Carrier will invoice on a monthly basis the other Party in accordance with the provisions in this Amendment and the FCC interim ISP terminating compensation plan. The Parties will mutually agree on the billing periods to be used in the monthly calculation for presumed ISP-bound Traffic and for Section 251(b)(5) Traffic.
- 4.12.15 AT&T and AT&T WISCONSIN agree that nothing in this Agreement is meant to affect or determine the appropriate treatment of Voice Over Internet Protocol (VOIP) traffic under this or future Interconnection Agreements. The Parties further agree that this Agreement shall not be construed against either party as a "meeting

of the minds" that VOIP traffic is or is not 251(b)(5) Traffic subject to reciprocal compensation. By entering into the Amendment, both Parties reserve the right to advocate their respective positions before state or federal commissions whether in bilateral complaint dockets, arbitrations under Section 252 of the Act, Commission established rulemaking dockets, or before any judicial or legislative body.